

A note on the derivation of homogenized bending plate model

Igor Velčić

Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences

Inselstr. 22

D-04103 Leipzig

Germany

Email:velcic@mis.mpg.de

December 12, 2012

Abstract

We derive, via simultaneous homogenization and dimension reduction, the Γ -limit for thin elastic plates of thickness h whose energy density oscillates on a scale $\varepsilon(h)$ such that $\varepsilon(h)^2 \ll h \ll \varepsilon(h)$. We consider the energy scaling that corresponds to Kirchhoff's nonlinear bending theory of plates.

Keywords: elasticity, dimension reduction, homogenization, nonlinear plate theory, two-scale convergence.

1 Introduction

In this paper we derive a model for homogenized bending plate, by means of Γ -convergence, from $3d$ nonlinear elasticity. Recently, models of homogenized bending plate were derived in the special case when the relation between the thickness of the body h and the oscillations of the material $\varepsilon(h)$ satisfy the condition $h \sim \varepsilon(h)$ or $\varepsilon(h) \ll h$ i.e. the situations when is such that $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{h}{\varepsilon(h)} =: \gamma \in (0, \infty]$, see [HNV]. Here we partially cover the case $\gamma = 0$, by assuming additionally $\varepsilon(h)^2 \ll h \ll \varepsilon(h)$. The von-Kármán case of plate and shells are discussed in [NV, HV]. In the case of von-Kármán plate all the cases for γ are obtained; the case $\gamma = 0$ corresponds to the situation where dimensional reduction prevails and the case $\gamma = \infty$ corresponds to the situation where homogenization prevails. Both of these cases can be obtained as limit cases from the intermediate thin films that arises when $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ i.e. $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$. In the case of shells there are different scenarios for $\gamma = 0$; one scenario for $\varepsilon(h)^2 \ll h \ll \varepsilon(h)$, the other for $h \sim \varepsilon(h)^2$.

For the relation of the model obtained here with the ones obtained in [HNV], see Remark 4 below.

By $\text{so}(3)$ we denote the space of skew symmetric matrices in $\mathbb{M}^3$. For a matrix A , $\text{sym } A$ denotes its symmetric part while $\text{skw } A$ denotes its skew symmetric part i.e. $\text{sym } A = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^T)$, $\text{skw } A = \frac{1}{2}(A - A^T)$. For a vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ by A_v we denote the antisymmetric tensor given by $A_v x = v \times x$. We call v the axial vector of A_v . One easily obtains

$$A_v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -v_3 & v_2 \\ v_3 & 0 & -v_1 \\ -v_2 & v_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

For $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^3$ we have

$$A_v \cdot A_w = 2v \cdot w. \quad (2)$$

By δ_{ij} we denote the Kronecker delta.

2 General framework and main result

From now on, $S \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ denotes a bounded Lipschitz domain whose boundary is piecewise C^1 . The piecewise C^1 -condition is necessary only for the proof of the upper bound and can be slightly relaxed, cf. Section 4.2 for the details.

For $h > 0$ and $I := (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, we denote by $\Omega_h := S \times hI$ the reference configuration of the thin plate of thickness h . The elastic energy per unit volume associated with a deformation $v^h : \Omega_h \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{h} \int_{\Omega_h} W\left(\frac{z'}{\varepsilon}, \nabla v^h(z)\right) dz. \quad (3)$$

Here and below $z' = (x_1, x_2)$ stands for the in-plane coordinates of a generic element $z = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \Omega_h$ and W is a energy density that models the elastic properties of a periodic composite.

Assumption 2.1. We assume that

$$W : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{M}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty], \quad (y, F) \mapsto W(y, F)$$

is measurable and $[0, 1]^2$ -periodic in y for all F . Furthermore, we assume that for almost every $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$, the map $\mathbb{M}^3 \ni F \mapsto W(y, F) \in [0, \infty]$ is continuous and satisfies the following properties:

(frame indifference) (FI)

$$W(y, RF) = W(y, F) \quad \text{for all } F \in \mathbb{M}^3, R \in \text{SO}(3);$$

(non degeneracy) (ND)

$$W(y, F) \geq c_1 \text{dist}^2(F, \text{SO}(3)) \quad \text{for all } F \in \mathbb{M}^3;$$

$$W(y, F) \leq c_2 \text{dist}^2(F, \text{SO}(3)) \quad \text{for all } F \in \mathbb{M}^3 \text{ with } \text{dist}^2(F, \text{SO}(3)) \leq \rho;$$

(quadratic expansion at identity) (QE)

$$\lim_{G \rightarrow 0} \frac{W(y, I + G) - Q(y, G)}{|G|^2} = 0$$

for some quadratic form $Q(y, \cdot)$ on $\mathbb{M}^3$.

Here c_1, c_2 and ρ are positive constants which are fixed from now on.

We define $\Omega := S \times I$. As in [FJM02] we rescale the out-of-plane coordinate: for $x = (x', x_3) \in \Omega$ consider the scaled deformation $u^h(x', x_3) := v^h(x', hx_3)$. Then (3) equals

$$\mathcal{E}^{h,\varepsilon}(u^h) := \int_{\Omega} W\left(\frac{x'}{\varepsilon}, \nabla_h u^h(x)\right) dx, \quad (4)$$

where $\nabla_h u^h := (\nabla' u^h, \frac{1}{h} \partial_3 u^h)$ denotes the scaled gradient, and $\nabla' u^h := (\partial_1 u^h, \partial_2 u^h)$ denotes the gradient in the plane.

We recall some known results on dimension reduction in the homogeneous case when $W(y, F) = W(F)$. As explained in [FJM06] a hierarchy of plate models can be derived from $\mathcal{E}^h := \mathcal{E}^{h,1}$ in the zero-thickness limit $h \rightarrow 0$. The different limiting models are distinguished by the scaling of the elastic energy relative to the thickness. In [LDR95] it is shown that the scaling $\mathcal{E}^h \sim 1$ leads to a membrane model, which is a fully nonlinear plate model for plates without resistance to compression. In the regime $\mathcal{E}^h \sim h^4$ finite energy deformations converge to rigid deformations and, as shown in [FJM06], $h^{-4}\mathcal{E}^h$ converges to a plate model of “von-Kármán”-type.

In this article we study the *bending regime* $\mathcal{E}^h \sim h^2$, which, as shown in [FJM02], leads to Kirchhoff’s nonlinear plate model: as $h \rightarrow 0$ the energy $h^{-2}\mathcal{E}^h$ Γ -converges to the functional

$$\int_S Q_2(\Pi(x')) dx', \quad (5)$$

with $Q_2 : \mathbb{M}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by the relaxation formula

$$Q_2(A) = \min_{d \in \mathbb{R}^3} Q(\iota(A) + d \otimes e_3); \quad (6)$$

here, Q denotes the quadratic form from (QE) and ι denotes the natural injection of $\mathbb{M}^2$ into $\mathbb{M}^3$. Denoting the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^3$ by (e_1, e_2, e_3) it is given by

$$\iota(A) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^2 A_{\alpha\beta} (e_\alpha \otimes e_\beta).$$

In [HNV] it was shown that in the non-homogeneous case the effective quadratic form Q_2 is determined by a relaxation formula that is more complicated and requires the solution of a corrector problem. In particular, our analysis showed that in-plane oscillations of the deformation couple with the behavior in the out-of-plane direction. As a consequence the effective behavior depended on the relative scaling between the thickness h and the material period ε . Here we will make the assumption that ε and h are coupled as follows:

Assumption 2.2. We assume that $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(h)$ is a nondecreasing function from $(0, \infty)$ to $(0, \infty)$ such that $\varepsilon(h) \rightarrow 0$ and $\varepsilon(h)^2 \ll h \ll \varepsilon(h)$ i.e. $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{h}{\varepsilon(h)} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon(h)^2}{h} = 0$.

The effective behavior of the homogenized plate with reduced dimension can be computed by means of a relaxation formula that we introduce next. We need to introduce some function spaces of periodic functions. From now on, $Y = [0, 1]^2$,

and we denote by $\mathcal{Y}$ the set Y endowed with the torus topology, so that functions on $\mathcal{Y}$ will be Y -periodic.

We write $C(\mathcal{Y})$, $C^k(\mathcal{Y})$ and $C^\infty(\mathcal{Y})$ for the Banach spaces of Y -periodic functions on $\mathbb{R}^2$ that are continuous, k -times continuously differentiable and smooth, respectively. Moreover, $H^k(I \times \mathcal{Y})$ denotes the closure of $C^\infty(I, C^\infty(\mathcal{Y}))$ with respect to the norm in $H^k(I \times Y)$ and we write $\dot{H}^k(\mathcal{Y})$ for the subspace of functions $f \in H^k(\mathcal{Y})$ with $\int_Y f = 0$. In the analogous way we define $\dot{H}^1(I \times \mathcal{Y})$, $\dot{C}^k(\mathcal{Y})$. The definitions extend in the obvious way to vector-valued functions.

Definition 2.3 (Relaxation formula). Let Q be as in Assumption 2.1. We define $Q_2^{\text{rel}} : \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$Q_2^{\text{rel}}(A) := \inf_{B, U} \iint_{I \times Y} Q(y, \iota(x_3 A + B) + U) dy dx_3,$$

taking the infimum over all $B \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^2$ and $U \in L_0(I \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^3)$, where

$$L_0(I \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^3) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \text{sym } \nabla_y \zeta + x_3 \nabla_y^2 \varphi & g_1 \\ (g_1, g_2) & g_2 \\ & g_3 \end{pmatrix} : \zeta \in \dot{H}^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^2), \right. \\ \left. \varphi \in \dot{H}^2(\mathcal{Y}), g \in L^2(I \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^3) \right\}.$$

We also define the mapping $\mathcal{U} : \dot{H}^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^2) \times \dot{H}^2(\mathcal{Y}) \times L^2(I \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow L_0(I \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^3)$ by

$$\mathcal{U}(\zeta, \varphi, g) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{sym } \nabla_y \zeta + x_3 \nabla_y^2 \varphi & g_1 \\ (g_1, g_2) & g_2 \\ & g_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For a simpler definition of Q_2^{rel} , see Remark 3.

Remark 1. It can be easily seen by using Korn's inequality that for $\zeta \in \dot{H}^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^2)$, $\varphi \in \dot{H}^2(\mathcal{Y})$, $g \in L^2(I \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^3)$ we have

$$\|\zeta\|_{H^1} + \|\varphi\|_{H^2} + \|g\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\mathcal{U}(\zeta, \varphi, g)\|_{L^2}. \quad (7)$$

We define the constraint on the deformation to be an isometry:

$$\partial_\alpha u \cdot \partial_\beta u = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2\}. \quad (8)$$

Kirchhoff's plate model is defined for pure *bending deformations* of S into $\mathbb{R}^3$; precisely:

$$W_\delta^{2,2}(S, \mathbb{R}^3) := \left\{ u \in W^{2,2}(S, \mathbb{R}^3) : u \text{ satisfies (8) a.e. in } S \right\}. \quad (9)$$

With each $u \in W_\delta^{2,2}(S)$ we associate its normal $n := \partial_1 u \wedge \partial_2 u$, and we define its second fundamental form $\Pi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^2$ by defining its entries as

$$\Pi_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha u \cdot \partial_\beta n = -\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta u \cdot n. \quad (10)$$

We write Π^h and n^h for the second fundamental form and normal associated with some $u^h \in W_\delta^{2,2}(S, \mathbb{R}^3)$. The Γ -limit is a functional of the form (5) trivially extended to $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ by infinity: we define $\mathcal{E} : L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$,

$$\mathcal{E}(u) := \begin{cases} \int_S Q_2^{\text{rel}}(\Pi(x')) dx' & \text{if } u \in W_\delta^{2,2}(S, \mathbb{R}^3), \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We tacitly identify functions on S with their trivial extension to $\Omega = S \times I$: above $u \in W_\delta^{2,2}(S, \mathbb{R}^3)$ means that $u(x', x_3) = \bar{u}(x') := \int_I u(x', z) dz$ for almost every $x_3 \in I$, and $\bar{u} \in W_\delta^{2,2}(S, \mathbb{R}^3)$. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 2.4. *Suppose that Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2 are satisfied. Then:*

(i) (Lower bound). *If $\{u^h\}_{h>0}$ is a sequence with $u^h - \int_\Omega u^h dx \rightarrow u$ in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$, then*

$$\liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} h^{-2} \mathcal{E}^{h, \varepsilon(h)}(u^h) \geq \mathcal{E}(u).$$

(ii) (Upper bound). *For every $u \in W_\delta^{2,2}(S, \mathbb{R}^3)$ there exists a sequence $\{u^h\}_{h>0}$ with $u^h \rightarrow u$ strongly in $H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ such that*

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} h^{-2} \mathcal{E}^{h, \varepsilon(h)}(u^h) = \mathcal{E}(u).$$

This theorem is complemented by the following compactness result from [FJM02], which in particular shows that $\{\mathcal{E}^{h, \varepsilon(h)}\}_{h>0}$ is equi-coercive on $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$.

Theorem 2.5 ([FJM02, Theorem 4.1]). *Suppose a sequence $u^h \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ has finite bending energy, that is*

$$\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h^2} \int_\Omega \text{dist}^2(\nabla_h u^h(x), \text{SO}(3)) dx < \infty.$$

Then there exists $u \in W_\delta^{2,2}(S, \mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u^h - \int_\Omega u^h dx &\rightarrow u, & \text{strongly in } L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3), \\ \nabla_h u^h &\rightarrow (\nabla' u, n) & \text{strongly in } L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}), \end{aligned}$$

as $h \rightarrow 0$ after passing to subsequences and extending u and n trivially to Ω .

3 Two-scale limits of the nonlinear strain

Two-scale convergence was introduced in [Ngu89, All92] and has been extensively applied to various problems in homogenization. In this article we work with the following variant of two-scale convergence which is adapted to dimension reduction.

Definition 3.1 (two-scale convergence). We say a bounded sequence $\{f^h\}_{h>0}$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ two-scale converges to $f \in L^2(\Omega \times Y)$ and we write $f^h \xrightarrow{2,0} f$, if

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} f^h(x) \psi(x, \frac{x'}{\varepsilon(h)}) dx = \iint_{\Omega \times Y} f(x, y) \psi(x, y) dy dx$$

for all $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega, C(\mathcal{Y}))$. When $\|f^h\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \rightarrow \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega \times Y)}$ in addition, we say that f^h strongly two-scale converges to f and write $f^h \xrightarrow{2,0} f$. For vector-valued functions, two-scale convergence is defined componentwise.

Since we identify functions on S with their trivial extension to Ω , the definition above contains the standard notion of two-scale convergence on $S \times Y$ as a special case. Indeed, when $\{f^h\}_{h>0}$ is a sequence in $L^2(S)$, then $f^h \xrightarrow{2,0} f$ is equivalent to

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_S f^h(x') \psi(x', \frac{x'}{\varepsilon(h)}) dx' = \iint_{S \times Y} f(x', y) \psi(x', y) dy dx'$$

for all $\psi \in C_0^\infty(S, C(\mathcal{Y}))$.

The main ingredient in the proof of the lower bound part of Theorem 2.4 is the following characterization of the possible two-scale limits of nonlinear strains.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $(u^h)_{h>0}$ be a sequence of deformations with finite bending energy, let $u \in W_\delta^{2,2}(S, \mathbb{R}^3)$ with second fundamental form Π , and assume that*

$$\begin{aligned} u^h - \int_{\Omega} u^h dx &\rightarrow u && \text{strongly in } L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3), \\ E^h := \frac{\sqrt{(\nabla_h u^h)^t \nabla_h u^h} - I}{h} &\xrightarrow{2,0} E && \text{weakly two-scale} \end{aligned}$$

for some $E \in L^2(\Omega \times Y; \mathbb{M}^3)$. Then there exist $B \in L^2(S, \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^2)$, and $\zeta \in L^2(S, \dot{H}^1(I \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^2))$, $\varphi \in L^2(S, \dot{H}^2(\mathcal{Y}))$ and $g \in L^2(S, L^2(I \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^3))$ such that

$$E(x, y) = \iota(x_3 \Pi(x') + B(x')) + \mathcal{U}(\zeta(x, \cdot), \varphi(x, \cdot), g(x, \cdot, \cdot))(x_3, y). \quad (11)$$

The starting point of the proof of the previous Proposition is [FJM06, Theorem 6] (see also the proof of [FJM02, Theorem 4.1]).

Lemma 3.3. *There exist constants $C, c > 0$, depending only on S , such that the following is true: if $u \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ then there exists a map $R : S \rightarrow SO(3)$ which is piecewise constant on each cube $x + hY$ with $x \in h\mathbb{Z}^2$ and there exists $\tilde{R} \in H^1(S, \mathbb{M}^3)$ such that for each $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$ which satisfy $|\xi|_\infty = \max\{|\xi \cdot e_1|, |\xi \cdot e_2|\} < h$ and for each $S' \subset S$ which satisfy $\text{dist}(S', \partial S) > ch$ we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_h u - R\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|R - \tilde{R}\|_{L^2(S)}^2 + h^2 \|R - \tilde{R}\|_{L^\infty(S)}^2 + h^2 \|\nabla' \tilde{R}\|_{L^2(S)}^2 \\ + \|\tau_\xi R - R\|_{L^2(S')}^2 \leq C \|\text{dist}(\nabla_h u, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $(\tau_\xi R)(x') := R(x' + \xi)$.

Let us recall some well-known properties of two-scale convergence. We refer to [All92, Vis06, MT07] for proofs in the standard two-scale setting and to [Neu10] for the easy adaption to the notion of two-scale convergence considered here.

Lemma 3.4. (i) Any sequence that is bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$ admits a two-scale convergent subsequence.

(ii) Let $\tilde{f} \in L^2(\Omega \times Y)$ and let $(f^h)_{h>0} \subset L^2(\Omega)$ be such that $f^h \xrightarrow{2,0} \tilde{f}$. Then $f^h \rightharpoonup \int_Y \tilde{f}(\cdot, y) dy$ weakly in $L^2(\Omega)$.

(iii) Let $f^0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $(f^h)_{h>0} \subset L^2(\Omega)$ be such that $f^h \rightharpoonup f^0$ weakly in $L^2(\Omega)$. Then (after passing to subsequences) we have $f^h \xrightarrow{2,0} f^0(x) + \tilde{f}$ for some $\tilde{f} \in L^2(\Omega \times Y)$ with $\int_Y \tilde{f}(\cdot, y) dy = 0$ almost everywhere in S . $\tilde{f}$ is uniquely characterized by the fact that $\int_S f^h \psi(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon(h)}) dx \rightarrow \int_S \int_Y \tilde{f}(x, y) \psi(x, y) dy dx$, for every $\psi \in C_0^\infty(S, \dot{C}^\infty(\mathcal{Y}))$.

(iv) Let $f^0 \in H^1(\Omega)$ and $(f^h)_{h>0} \subset H^1(\Omega)$ be such that $f^h \rightarrow f^0$ strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$. Then $f^h \xrightarrow{2,0} f^0$, where we extend f^0 trivially to $\Omega \times Y$.

(v) Let f^0 and $f^h \in H^1(S)$ be such that $f^h \rightharpoonup f^0$ weakly in $H^1(S)$. Then (after passing to subsequences)

$$\nabla' f^h \xrightarrow{2,0} \nabla' f^0 + \nabla_y \phi$$

for some $\phi \in L^2(S, H^1(\mathcal{Y}))$.

For the proof of the following lemma see [Neu10, Theorem 6.3.3].

Lemma 3.5. Let $u^0 \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $(u^h)_{h>0} \subset H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ be such that $u^h \rightharpoonup u^0$ weakly in $H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} \|\nabla_h u^h\|_{L^2} < \infty$. Under the assumption $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{h}{\varepsilon(h)} = 0$ there exist $\phi \in L^2(S, H^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^3))$, $d \in L^2(S, L^2(I \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^3))$ such that (after passing to subsequences)

$$\nabla_h u^h \xrightarrow{2,0} (\nabla' u^0, 0) + (\nabla_y \phi, d). \quad (12)$$

Here u^0 is the weak limit of u^h i.e. $\int_I u^h$ in $H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ i.e. $H^1(S, \mathbb{R}^3)$.

The following two lemmas are proved in [Vel].

Lemma 3.6. Let $(u^h)_{h>0}$ be a bounded sequence in $L^2(S)$ which two-scale converges to $u_0(x, y) \in L^2(S \times \mathcal{Y})$. Let $(v^h)_{h>0}$ be a sequence bounded in $L^\infty(S)$ which converges in measure to $v_0 \in L^\infty(S)$. Then $v^h u^h \xrightarrow{2,0} v_0(x) u_0(x, y)$.

Lemma 3.7. Let $(u^h)_{h>0}$ be a sequence which converges strongly to u in $H^1(S)$ and $(v^h)_{h>0}$ be a sequence which is bounded in $H^1(S, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for each $h > 0$

$$\|\nabla u^h - v^h\|_{L^2(S, \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\eta(h), \quad (13)$$

for some $C > 0$ and $\eta(h)$ which satisfies $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\eta(h)}{\varepsilon(h)} = 0$. Then for any subsequence of $(\nabla v^h)_{h>0}$ which converges two scale there exists a unique $v \in L^2(S, \dot{H}^2(\mathcal{Y}))$ such that $\nabla v^h \xrightarrow{2,0} \nabla^2 u(x) + \nabla_y^2 v(x, y)$.

We prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $(R^h)_{h>0} \subset L^\infty(S, \text{SO}(3))$ and $(\tilde{R}^h)_{h>0} \subset H^1(S, \mathbb{M}^3)$ satisfy for each $h > 0$*

$$\|\tilde{R}^h - R^h\|_{L^2} \leq C\eta(h), \quad \|\nabla' \tilde{R}^h\|_{L^2} \leq C, \quad \|\tilde{R}^h\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \quad (14)$$

where $C > 0$ is independent of h and $\eta(h)$ satisfies $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\eta(h)}{\varepsilon(h)} = 0$. Then for any subsequence of $(\nabla' \tilde{R}^h)_{h>0}$ which converges two scale there exists a unique $w \in L^2(S, \dot{H}^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^3))$ such that $\partial_\alpha \tilde{R}^h \xrightarrow{2,0} \partial_\alpha R + RA_{\partial_{y_\alpha} w(x,y)}$, where $R \in H^1(S, \text{SO}(3))$ is the weak limit of $\tilde{R}^h$.

Proof. We have to prove only that

$$M_\alpha^h := \text{sym}[(\tilde{R}^h)^T \partial_\alpha \tilde{R}^h] \xrightarrow{2,0} \text{sym}(R^T \partial_\alpha R) = 0, \quad \text{for } \alpha = 1, 2. \quad (15)$$

The rest is a direct consequence of (v) in Lemma 3.4. Namely, let us assume (15). Then we conclude that for $\alpha = 1, 2$ there exists $\tilde{w}_\alpha \in L^2(S, \dot{H}^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^3))$ such that

$$(\tilde{R}^h)^T \partial_\alpha \tilde{R}^h \xrightarrow{2,0} R^T \partial_\alpha R + A_{\tilde{w}_\alpha}. \quad (16)$$

Using the fact that $\tilde{R}^h \rightarrow R$, boundedly in measure and Lemma 3.6 we conclude from (16) that

$$\partial_\alpha \tilde{R}^h \xrightarrow{2,0} \partial_\alpha R + RA_{\tilde{w}_\alpha(x,y)}. \quad (17)$$

By using (v) of Lemma 3.4 we can also conclude that there exists $M \in L^2(S, \dot{H}^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}))$ such that

$$\partial_\alpha \tilde{R}^h \xrightarrow{2,0} \partial_\alpha R + \partial_{y_\alpha} M. \quad (18)$$

From (17) and (18) we conclude that

$$A_{\tilde{w}_\alpha(x,y)} = \partial_{y_\alpha}(R^T M),$$

which implies that $\tilde{w}_\alpha = \partial_\alpha w$, where w is the axial vector of $\text{skw}(R^T M)$.

It remains to prove (15). Notice that

$$M_{\alpha,ij}^h = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\alpha (\tilde{R}_i^h \cdot \tilde{R}_j^h). \quad (19)$$

Take $\psi \in C_0^\infty(S, \dot{C}^\infty(\mathcal{Y}; \mathbb{R}^3))$ and calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_S M_{\alpha,ij}^h \psi(\cdot, \frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)}) dx &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_S \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{R}_i^h \cdot \tilde{R}_j^h) \partial_{x_\alpha} \psi(\cdot, \frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)}) \\ &\quad + \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_S \frac{1}{2\varepsilon(h)} (\tilde{R}_i^h \cdot \tilde{R}_j^h) \partial_{y_\alpha} \psi(\cdot, \frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)}) \\ &\stackrel{\text{using (14) and Cauchy inequality}}{=} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_S \frac{1}{2} (R_i^h \cdot R_j^h) \partial_{x_\alpha} \psi(\cdot, \frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)}) \\ &\quad + \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_S \frac{1}{2\varepsilon(h)} (R_i^h \cdot R_j^h) \partial_{y_\alpha} \psi(\cdot, \frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)}) \\ &= \int_S \partial_\alpha (\delta_{ij}) \psi(\cdot, \frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (iii) of Lemma 3.4 implies (15). $\square$

Lemma 3.9. Let $(\bar{u}^h)_{h>0} \subset H^1(S, \mathbb{R}^3)$, $(R^h)_{h>0} \subset L^\infty(S, \text{SO}(3))$ and $(\tilde{R}^h)_{h>0} \subset H^1(S, \mathbb{M}^3)$ satisfy for each $h > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla' \bar{u}^h - (R^h e_1, R^h e_2)\|_{L^2} + \|R^h - \tilde{R}^h\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla' \tilde{R}^h\|_{L^2} \leq C\eta(h), \quad (20) \\ & \|\tilde{R}^h\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \end{aligned}$$

where $C > 0$ is independent of h and $\eta(h)$ satisfies $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\eta(h)}{\varepsilon(h)} = 0$. Then for any subsequence of $(\nabla' \tilde{R}^h)_{h>0}$ which converges two scale there exists a unique $w \in L^2(S, \dot{H}^2(\mathcal{Y}))$ such that

$$\partial_\alpha \tilde{R}^h \xrightarrow{2,0} \partial_\alpha R + R \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\partial_{y_1 y_\alpha} w \\ 0 & 0 & -\partial_{y_2 y_\alpha} w \\ \partial_{y_1 y_\alpha} w & \partial_{y_2 y_\alpha} w & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (21)$$

where R is the weak limit of $\tilde{R}^h$ in H^1 .

Proof. From (20) we conclude that there exists $R : S \rightarrow \text{SO}(3)$ and $u \in H^2(S, \mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $Re_\alpha = \partial_\alpha u$, for $\alpha = 1, 2$, $\tilde{R}^h \rightharpoonup R$ weakly in H^1 , $\partial_\alpha \bar{u}^h \rightarrow Re_\alpha$, $R^h \rightarrow R$ strongly in L^2 . Also from (20) and Lemma 3.8 we have that there exists $\tilde{w} \in L^2(S, \dot{H}^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^3))$ such that for $\alpha = 1, 2$

$$\partial_\alpha \tilde{R}^h \xrightarrow{2,0} \partial_\alpha R + RA_{\partial_{y_\alpha} \tilde{w}}. \quad (22)$$

Using Lemma 3.7 we conclude that there exists $v \in L^2(S, \dot{H}^2(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^3))$ such that

$$R \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\partial_{y_\alpha} \tilde{w}_3 \\ \partial_{y_\alpha} \tilde{w}_3 & 0 \\ -\partial_{y_\alpha} \tilde{w}_2 & \partial_{y_\alpha} \tilde{w}_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{y_1 y_\alpha} v_1 & \partial_{y_2 y_\alpha} v_1 \\ \partial_{y_1 y_\alpha} v_2 & \partial_{y_2 y_\alpha} v_2 \\ \partial_{y_1 y_\alpha} v_3 & \partial_{y_2 y_\alpha} v_3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (23)$$

By putting $\tilde{v} = R^T v$ we have that for $\alpha = 1, 2$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\partial_{y_\alpha} \tilde{w}_3 \\ \partial_{y_\alpha} \tilde{w}_3 & 0 \\ -\partial_{y_\alpha} \tilde{w}_2 & \partial_{y_\alpha} \tilde{w}_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{y_1 y_\alpha} \tilde{v}_1 & \partial_{y_2 y_\alpha} \tilde{v}_1 \\ \partial_{y_1 y_\alpha} \tilde{v}_2 & \partial_{y_2 y_\alpha} \tilde{v}_2 \\ \partial_{y_1 y_\alpha} \tilde{v}_3 & \partial_{y_2 y_\alpha} \tilde{v}_3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (24)$$

From this one easily concludes that $\tilde{w}_3 = 0$ which implies the claim by defining $w = \tilde{v}_3$. $\square$

The following lemma was already used in [HV] and can be easily proved by e.g. Fourier transform.

Lemma 3.10. Let $M \in L^2(S; L^2(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^2))$ such that for every

$$\Psi \in C_0^\infty(S, C^\infty(\mathcal{Y}; \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^2))$$

which satisfies

$$\Psi(\cdot, y) = (\text{cof } \nabla^2 F)(y) \psi(\cdot) \quad (25)$$

for some $\psi \in C_0^\infty(S)$, $F \in \dot{C}^\infty(\mathcal{Y})$, we have that

$$\iint_{S \times \mathcal{Y}} M(\cdot, y) : \Psi(\cdot, y) = 0.$$

Then there exist unique $M_0 \in L^2(S, \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^2)$ and $\zeta \in L^2(S, \dot{H}^1(\mathcal{Y}; \mathbb{R}^2))$ such that

$$M = M_0 + \text{sym } \nabla_y \zeta.$$

The following lemma is crucial for the proof of the Proposition 3.2.

Lemma 3.11. *Let Assumption 2.2 be satisfied. Let $(\tilde{u}^h)_{h>0} \subset H^2(S, \mathbb{R}^3)$, $(\tilde{R}^h)_{h>0} \subset H^1(S, \mathbb{M}^3)$ and $(R^h)_{h>0} \subset L^\infty(S, \text{SO}(3))$ such that for each $h > 0$ R^h is piecewise constant on each cube $x + hY$ with $x \in h\mathbb{Z}^2$ and for each $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$ which satisfy $|\xi|_\infty = \max\{|\xi \cdot e_1|, |\xi \cdot e_2|\} < h$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & h^2 \|\nabla'^2 \tilde{u}^h\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla' \tilde{u}^h - (R^h e_1, R^h e_2)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|R^h - \tilde{R}^h\|_{L^2(S)}^2 \\ & + h^2 \|R^h - \tilde{R}^h\|_{L^\infty(S)}^2 + h^2 \|\nabla' \tilde{R}^h\|_{L^2(S)}^2 + \|\tau_\xi R^h - R^h\|_{L^2(S^h)}^2 \leq Ch^2, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

for some $C > 0$ and for each sequence of subdomains $S^h \subset S$ which satisfy $\text{dist}(S^h, \partial S) \geq ch$ for some $c > 0$. Then there exist $M_0 \in L^2(S, \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^2)$ and $\zeta \in L^2(S, \dot{H}^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^2))$ such that for $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2$ (on a subsequence) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\alpha\beta}^h &:= \frac{1}{2h} [(R^h e_\alpha) \cdot \partial_\beta \tilde{u}^h + (R^h e_\beta) \cdot \partial_\alpha \tilde{u}^h] - \delta_{\alpha\beta} \\ &\xrightarrow{2,0} M_{0,\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{y_\alpha} \zeta_\beta + \partial_{y_\beta} \zeta_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (26) we can assume that there exists $u \in H^2(S, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $R \in H^1(S, \text{SO}(3))$ such that $\partial_\alpha u = R e_\alpha$, $\partial_\alpha \tilde{u}^h \rightharpoonup \partial_\alpha u$ weakly in H^1 for $\alpha = 1, 2$, $\tilde{R}^h \rightharpoonup R$ weakly in H^1 and $R^h \rightarrow R$ strongly in L^2 . Let us suppose that $M^h \xrightarrow{2,0} M$, for some $M \in L^2(S \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{M}^2)$. Using Lemma 3.10 it is enough to see that

$$\iint_{S \times \mathcal{Y}} M(\cdot, y) : \Psi(\cdot, y) = 0,$$

where Ψ is defined by (25). Let us observe

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{S \times \mathcal{Y}} M(\cdot, y) : \Psi(\cdot, y) \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_S M^h : (\text{cof } \nabla^2 F) \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \psi \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon(h)^2}{h} \int_S h M^h : \text{cof} \left[\nabla^2 \left(F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \psi \right) - 2 \nabla \left(F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \nabla \psi \right) + F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \nabla^2 \psi \right] \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon(h)^2}{h} \int_S h M^h : \text{cof} \left[\nabla^2 \left(F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \psi \right) - 2 \nabla \left(F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \nabla \psi \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to conclude that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon(h)^2}{h} \int_S h M^h : \text{cof} \left[\nabla \left(F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \nabla \psi \right) \right] = 0.$$

Namely, it is enough to conclude that the sequence

$$I^h := \int_S h M^h : \text{cof} \left[\nabla \left(F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \nabla \psi \right) \right],$$

is bounded. To see this notice that, because of (26) we have $|I^h - \tilde{I}^h| \rightarrow 0$, where

$$\tilde{I}^h := \int_S M_c^h : \text{cof} \left[\nabla \left(F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \nabla \psi \right) \right], \quad (27)$$

and

$$M_c^h := \frac{1}{2}[(\tilde{R}^h e_\alpha) \cdot \partial_\beta \tilde{u}^h + (\tilde{R}^h e_\beta) \cdot \partial_\alpha \tilde{u}^h].$$

By partial integration in (27) and the fact that $\|\nabla M_c^h\|_{L^1(S)}$ is bounded we easily obtain the boundedness of $\tilde{I}^h$. From this it follows the boundedness of I^h . It remains to prove that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon(h)^2}{h} \int_S h M^h : \text{cof } \nabla^2 \left(F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \psi \right) = 0. \quad (28)$$

By doing partial integration we obtain for h small enough:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_S h M^h : \text{cof } \nabla^2 \left(F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \psi \right) = \\ & \int_S (R^h e_2) \cdot \partial_{11} \tilde{u}^h \partial_2 \left(F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \psi \right) - \int_S (R^h e_2) \cdot \partial_{12} \tilde{u}^h \partial_1 \left(F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \psi \right) \\ & - \sum_{x' \in h\mathbb{Z}^2 \cap S^h} ((R^h e_1)(x') - (R^h e_1)(x' + h(0, 1))) \cdot \left(\int_{\Gamma_{x'}^{2,h}} \partial_1 \tilde{u}^h \partial_2 \left(F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \psi \right) \right) \\ & - \sum_{x' \in h\mathbb{Z}^2 \cap S^h} ((R^h e_1)(x') - (R^h e_1)(x' + h(1, 0))) \cdot \left(\int_{\Gamma_{x'}^{1,h}} \partial_2 \tilde{u}^h \partial_2 \left(F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \psi \right) \right) \\ & - \sum_{x' \in h\mathbb{Z}^2 \cap S^h} ((R^h e_2)(x') - (R^h e_2)(x' + h(1, 0))) \cdot \left(\int_{\Gamma_{x'}^{1,h}} \partial_1 \tilde{u}^h \partial_2 \left(F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \psi \right) \right) \\ & + \sum_{x' \in h\mathbb{Z}^2 \cap S^h} ((R^h e_2)(x') - (R^h e_2)(x' + h(1, 0))) \cdot \left(\int_{\Gamma_{x'}^{1,h}} \partial_2 \tilde{u}^h \partial_1 \left(F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \psi \right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where $\Gamma_{x'}^{1,h}$ is the segment $[x' + h(1, 0), x' + h(1, 1)]$, $\Gamma_{x'}^{2,h}$ is the segment $[x' + h(0, 1), x' + h(1, 1)]$ and S^h is a compact subset of S such that $\text{spt } \psi \subset S^h$. First we will prove that $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon(h)^2}{h} I_1^h = 0$, where

$$I_1^h = \int_S (R^h e_2) \cdot \partial_{11} \tilde{u}^h \partial_2 \left(F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \psi \right) - \int_S (R^h e_2) \cdot \partial_{12} \tilde{u}^h \partial_1 \left(F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \psi \right).$$

To prove this it is enough to prove the boundedness of the sequence I_1^h . Notice, as before, using (26) and Cauchy inequality that $|I_1^h - \tilde{I}_1^h| \rightarrow 0$, where

$$\tilde{I}_1^h = \int_S (\tilde{R}^h e_2) \cdot \partial_{11} \tilde{u}^h \partial_2 \left(F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \psi \right) - \int_S (\tilde{R}^h e_2) \cdot \partial_{12} \tilde{u}^h \partial_1 \left(F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \psi \right).$$

By replacing $\tilde{u}^h$ with a smooth function $\tilde{u}_c^h \in C^3(S)$ such that $\|\tilde{u}^h - \tilde{u}_c^h\|_{H^2} \ll \varepsilon(h)$ we obtain, after partial integration, that $|\tilde{I}_1^h - \tilde{I}_{1,c}^h| \rightarrow 0$, where

$$\tilde{I}_{1,c}^h = - \int_S \partial_2 (\tilde{R}^h e_2) \cdot \partial_{11} \tilde{u}_c^h F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \psi + \int_S \partial_1 (\tilde{R}^h e_2) \cdot \partial_{12} \tilde{u}_c^h F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \psi.$$

Now we easily obtains the boundedness of $\tilde{I}_{1,c}^h$ which implies the boundedness

of I_1^h . We want to show that $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon(h)^2}{h} I_2^h = 0$, where

$$I_2^h := \sum_{x' \in h\mathbb{Z}^2 \cap S^h} ((R^h e_1)(x') - (R^h e_1)(x' + h(0, 1))) \cdot \left(\int_{\Gamma_{x'}^{2,h}} \partial_1 \tilde{u}^h \partial_2 \left(F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \psi \right) \right).$$

We will prove even more that $I_2^h \rightarrow 0$. Since $\tilde{u}^h \in H^2(\Omega_{x'}^h)$ we have that

$$\int_{\Gamma_{x',h}^2} \left(\partial_1 \tilde{u}^h - \frac{1}{h} \int_{\Gamma_{x',h}^1} \partial_1 \tilde{u}^h \right)^2 \leq \frac{h}{3} \int_{\Omega_{x'}^h} (\partial_{12} \tilde{u}^h)^2, \quad (30)$$

where for $x \in \Gamma_{x'}^{2,h}$ we put $\Gamma_x^{1,h} = [x - h(0, 1), x]$ and $\Omega_{x'}^h$ is the square of side h whose left corner is x' . From (26) we easily conclude that for $\alpha = 1, 2$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $|\xi|_\infty = 1$ we have

$$\sum_{x' \in h\mathbb{Z}^2 \cap S^h} ((R^h e_\alpha)(x') - (R^h e_\alpha)(x' + h\xi))^2 \leq C, \quad (31)$$

Using Cauchy inequality and (30), (31) we conclude that $|I_2^h - \tilde{I}_2^h| \rightarrow 0$, where

$$\tilde{I}_2^h := \sum_{x' \in h\mathbb{Z}^2 \cap S^h} ((R^h e_1)(x') - (R^h e_1)(x' + h(0, 1))) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{h} \int_{\Omega_{x'}^h} \partial_1 \tilde{u}^h \partial_2 \left(F \left(\frac{P_{x'}^h(\cdot)}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \psi(P_{x'}^h(\cdot)) \right) \right),$$

and $P_{x'}^h : \Omega_{x'}^h \rightarrow \Gamma_{x'}^{2,h}$ is the projection. From (26) and Cauchy inequality we can easily conclude that $|\tilde{I}_2^h - \tilde{I}_{2,c}^h| \rightarrow 0$, where

$$\tilde{I}_{2,c}^h := \sum_{x' \in h\mathbb{Z}^2 \cap S^h} ((R^h e_1)(x') - (R^h e_1)(x' + h(0, 1))) \cdot (R^h e_1)(x') \int_{\Gamma_{x'}^{2,h}} \partial_2 \left(F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \psi \right).$$

By using (31) we easily obtain that $|\tilde{I}_{2,c}^h - \tilde{I}_{2,cc}^h| \rightarrow 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}_{2,cc}^h &:= \sum_{x' \in h\mathbb{Z}^2 \cap S^h} ((R^h e_1)(x') - (R^h e_1)(x' + h(0, 1))) \cdot \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2} ((R^h e_1)(x') + (R^h e_1)(x' + h(0, 1))) \int_{\Gamma_{x'}^{2,h}} \partial_2 \left(F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \psi \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $I_2^h \rightarrow 0$. In the same way we can conclude that $I_4^h \rightarrow 0$, where

$$I_4^h := \sum_{x' \in h\mathbb{Z}^2 \cap S^h} ((R^h e_2)(x') - (R^h e_2)(x' + h(1, 0))) \cdot \left(\int_{\Gamma_{x'}^{1,h}} \partial_2 \tilde{u}^h \partial_1 \left(F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \psi \right) \right)$$

It remains to check the part

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3^h &:= \sum_{x' \in h\mathbb{Z}^2 \cap S^h} ((R^h e_1)(x') - (R^h e_1)(x' + h(1, 0))) \cdot \\
&\quad \left(\int_{\Gamma_{x'}^{1,h}} \partial_2 \tilde{u}^h \partial_2 \left(F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \psi \right) \right) \\
&- \sum_{x' \in h\mathbb{Z}^2 \cap S^h} ((R^h e_2)(x') - (R^h e_2)(x' + h(1, 0))) \cdot \\
&\quad \left(\int_{\Gamma_{x'}^{1,h}} \partial_1 \tilde{u}^h \partial_2 \left(F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \psi \right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

We follow the same pattern to replace $\partial_1 \tilde{u}^h$ i.e. $\partial_2 \tilde{u}^h$ by $R^h e_1$ i.e. $R^h e_2$ and obtain that $|I_3^h - I_{3,c}^h| \rightarrow 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{3,c}^h &:= \sum_{x' \in h\mathbb{Z}^2 \cap S^h} ((R^h e_1)(x') - (R^h e_1)(x' + h(1, 0))) \cdot \\
&\quad (R^h e_2)(x') \int_{\Gamma_{x'}^{1,h}} \partial_2 \left(F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \psi \right) \\
&+ \sum_{x' \in h\mathbb{Z}^2 \cap S^h} ((R^h e_2)(x') - (R^h e_2)(x' + h(1, 0))) \cdot \\
&\quad (R^h e_1)(x') \int_{\Gamma_{x'}^{1,h}} \partial_2 \left(F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \psi \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using again (31) we easily obtain that $|I_{3,c}^h - I_{3,cc}^h| \rightarrow 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{3,cc}^h &:= \sum_{x' \in h\mathbb{Z}^2 \cap S^h} ((R^h e_1)(x') - (R^h e_1)(x' + h(1, 0))) \cdot \\
&\quad \frac{1}{2} ((R^h e_2)(x') + (R^h e_2)(x' + h(1, 0))) \int_{\Gamma_{x'}^{1,h}} \partial_2 \left(F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \psi \right) \\
&+ \sum_{x' \in h\mathbb{Z}^2 \cap S^h} ((R^h e_2)(x') - (R^h e_2)(x' + h(1, 0))) \cdot \\
&\quad \frac{1}{2} ((R^h e_1)(x') + (R^h e_1)(x' + h(1, 0))) \int_{\Gamma_{x'}^{1,h}} \partial_2 \left(F \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon(h)} \right) \psi \right) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of the claim. $\square$

For proving the Proposition 3.2 we need the following remark, the same as we needed in the proof of [NV, Proposition 3.1].

Remark 2. Assume that $\tilde{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ a bounded domain. Let us look the following minimization problem

$$\min_{\substack{v \in H^1(\tilde{S}) \\ \int_{\tilde{S}} v = 0}} \int_{\tilde{S}} |\nabla v - p|^2 dx',$$

where $p \in H^1(\tilde{S}, \mathbb{R}^2)$ is a given field. The associated Euler-Lagrange equation reads

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v = -\nabla \cdot p & \text{in } \tilde{S} \\ \partial_\nu v = p \cdot \nu & \text{on } \partial\tilde{S}, \end{cases}$$

subject to $\int_{\tilde{S}} v \, dx = 0$. Above, ν denotes the normal on $\partial\tilde{S}$. Since $\nabla \cdot p \in L^2$, we obtain by standard regularity estimates that $v \in H^2(\tilde{S})$ under the assumption that $\partial\tilde{S}$ is $C^{1,1}$. In this case it holds $\|v\|_{H^2(\tilde{S})} \lesssim \|\nabla \cdot p\|_{L^2(\tilde{S})} + \|p\|_{L^2(\tilde{S})}$.

Proof of Proposition 3.2. Without loss of generality we assume that all u^h have average zero. Let $R^h, \tilde{R}^h$ be the maps obtained by applying Lemma 3.3 to u^h . Due to the uniform bound on $\nabla' \tilde{R}^h$ given by Lemma 3.3, R^h and $\tilde{R}^h$ are precompact in $L^2(S, \mathbb{M}^3)$. Hence, R^h and $\tilde{R}^h$ strongly converge in $L^2(S, \mathbb{M}^3)$ to $R \in H^1(S, \text{SO}(3))$ on a subsequence. Also we can conclude that $u^h \rightarrow u$ strongly in $H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\nabla_h u^h \rightarrow R = (\nabla' u, n)$ strongly in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{M}^3)$, for $u \in W^{2,2}(S, \mathbb{R}^3)$. Take $\tilde{S} \subset S$, open, such that $\partial\tilde{S}$ is of class $C^{1,1}$ and define $\tilde{\Omega} := \tilde{S} \times I$, $\bar{u}^h = \int_I u^h(x', x_3) \, dx_3$ and notice that

$$\|\nabla' \bar{u}^h - (\tilde{R}^h e_1, \tilde{R}^h e_2)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq Ch^2, \quad (32)$$

for some $C > 0$. Define $\tilde{u}^h \in H^2(\tilde{S}, \mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\tilde{u}^h$ minimizes the problem

$$\min_{\substack{v \in H^1(\tilde{S}, \mathbb{R}^3) \\ \int_{\tilde{S}} v = 0}} \int_{\tilde{S}} \|\nabla v - (\tilde{R}^h e_1, \tilde{R}^h e_2)\|^2 \, dx'.$$

From Remark (2) we conclude that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\tilde{u}^h\|_{H^2(\tilde{S})} \leq C, \quad \|\nabla' \tilde{u}^h - (\tilde{R}^h e_1, \tilde{R}^h e_2)\|_{L^2(\tilde{S})}^2 \leq Ch^2, \quad \|\nabla' \tilde{u}^h - \nabla' \bar{u}^h\|_{L^2(\tilde{S})}^2 \leq Ch^2. \quad (33)$$

Let us suppose that on a subsequence

$$\frac{1}{h}(R^h)^t(\nabla' \bar{u}^h - \nabla' \tilde{u}^h) \xrightarrow{2,0} \theta(x') + \nabla_y v(x', v), \quad (34)$$

where $\theta \in L^2(\tilde{S}, \mathbb{M}^{3 \times 2})$ and $v \in L^2(\tilde{S}, \dot{H}^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^3))$. This can be done without loss of generality by using Lemma 3.4, Lemma 3.6 and Poincaré inequality. Following [FJM02], we introduce the approximate strain

$$G^h(x) = \frac{(R^h)^t \nabla_h u^h(x) - I}{h}. \quad (35)$$

Since G^h is bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$ we can assume that $G^h \xrightarrow{2,0} G \in L^2(\Omega \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{M}^3)$. First notice that it suffices to identify the symmetric part of the two-scale limit G of the sequence G^h . Indeed, since $\sqrt{(I + hF)^t(I + hF)} = I + h \text{sym } F$ up to terms of higher order, the convergence $G^h \xrightarrow{2,0} G$ implies $E = \text{sym } G$ (see e.g. [Neu12, Lemma 4.4] for a proof). We define $z^h \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ via

$$u^h(x', x_3) = \bar{u}^h(x') + hx_3 \tilde{R}^h(x') e_3 + h z^h(x', x_3). \quad (36)$$

Then clearly $\int_I z^h(x', x_3) dx_3 = 0$ and we compute

$$\begin{aligned} G^h &= \frac{\iota((R^h e_1, R^h e_2)^t \nabla' \tilde{u}^h - I')}{h} + \frac{1}{h} \sum_{\alpha=1,2} (R^h e_3 \cdot \partial_\alpha \tilde{u}^h) e_3 \otimes e_\alpha \quad (37) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{h} (R^h)^t (\nabla' \tilde{u}^h - \nabla' \tilde{u}^h) + \frac{1}{h} \left((R^h)^t \tilde{R}^h e_3 \otimes e_3 - e_3 \right) \\ &\quad + x_3 (R^h)^t (\nabla' \tilde{R}^h e_3, 0) + (R^h)^t \nabla_h z^h, \end{aligned}$$

where by I' we have denoted the unit matrix in $\mathbb{M}^2$. By using Lemma 3.11 we conclude that there exist $\tilde{B} \in L^2(\tilde{S}, \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^2)$, $\tilde{\zeta} \in L^2(\tilde{S}, \dot{H}^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^2))$ such that on a subsequence

$$\text{sym} \frac{((R^h e_1, R^h e_2)^t \nabla' \tilde{u}^h - I')}{h} \xrightarrow{2,0} \tilde{B}(x') + \text{sym} \nabla_y \tilde{\zeta}(x', y). \quad (38)$$

Using Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.9 we conclude that there exists $\varphi \in L^2(S, \dot{H}^2(\mathcal{Y}))$ such that

$$x_3 (R^h)^t (\nabla' \tilde{R}^h e_3) \xrightarrow{2,0} x_3 \nabla_y^2 \varphi(x', y). \quad (39)$$

Using Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6 and the fact that $\int_I z^h = 0$ we conclude that there exists $\phi \in L^2(S, \dot{H}^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^3))$, $d \in L^2(S, L^2(I \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^3))$ such that on a subsequence

$$(R^h)^t \nabla_h z^h \xrightarrow{2,0} (\nabla_y \phi, d) \quad (40)$$

Without loss of generality we can assume that there exist $p \in L^2(\tilde{S} \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^2)$ and $z \in L^2(S \times \mathcal{Y}; \mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$R^h e_3 \cdot \partial_\alpha \tilde{u}^h \xrightarrow{2,0} p_\alpha(x', y), \quad \text{for } \alpha = 1, 2, \quad (41)$$

$$\frac{1}{h} \left((R^h)^t \tilde{R}^h e_3 \otimes e_3 - e_3 \right) \xrightarrow{2,0} z(x', y) \quad (42)$$

Using (34) as well as (38)-(41) we conclude that there exists $\zeta \in L^2(\tilde{\Omega}, \dot{H}^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^2))$, $\varphi \in L^2(\tilde{S}, \dot{H}^2(\mathcal{Y}))$ and $g \in L^2(\tilde{\Omega} \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} E(x, y) &= \iota(x_3 \Pi(x') + B(x')) + \mathcal{U}(\zeta(x, \cdot), \varphi(x, \cdot), g(x, \cdot, \cdot))(x_3, y), \quad (43) \\ &\quad \forall (x, y) \in \tilde{\Omega} \times \mathcal{Y}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \tilde{B} + \text{sym} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1,2} \theta_{\alpha\beta} e_\alpha \otimes e_\beta \\ \zeta &= \tilde{\zeta} + \sum_{\alpha=1,2} (\phi_\alpha + v_\alpha) e_\alpha \\ g &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1,2} (\partial_{y_\alpha} \phi + d_\alpha + \theta_{3\alpha} + p_\alpha + z_\alpha) e_\alpha + (d_3 + z_3) e_3 \end{aligned}$$

To obtain the representation (43) for all $(x, y) \in \Omega \times \mathcal{Y}$ and some $\zeta \in L^2(\Omega, \dot{H}^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^2))$, $\varphi \in L^2(S, \dot{H}^2(\mathcal{Y}))$ and $g \in L^2(\Omega \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^3)$ it is enough to use that $E \in L^2(\Omega \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})$ and to exhaust S by an increasing sequence $(\tilde{S}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the sets with $C^{1,1}$ boundary. $\square$

4 Proof of Theorem 2.4

4.1 Lower bound

As a preliminary step we need to establish some continuity properties of the quadratic form appearing in (QE) and its relaxed version introduced in Definition 2.3. For the proof we refer to [Neu12, Lemma 2.7].

Lemma 4.1. *Let W be as in Assumption 2.1 and let Q be the quadratic form associated with W via (QE). Then*

(Q1) $Q(\cdot, G)$ is Y -periodic and measurable for all $G \in \mathbb{M}^3$,

(Q2) for almost every $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ the map $Q(y, \cdot)$ is quadratic and satisfies

$$c_1 |\text{sym } G|^2 \leq Q(y, G) = Q(y, \text{sym } G) \leq c_2 |\text{sym } G|^2 \quad \forall G \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}. \quad (44)$$

Furthermore, there exists a monotone function $r : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$, depending only on c_1, c_2 and ρ , such that $r(\delta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\forall G \in \mathbb{M}^3 : |W(y, I + G) - Q(y, G)| \leq |G|^2 r(|G|) \quad (45)$$

for almost every $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Lemma 4.2. *For all $A \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^2$ there exist a unique quadruple (B, ζ, φ, g) with $B \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^2$ and $\zeta \in \dot{H}^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^2)$, $\varphi \in \dot{H}^2(\mathcal{Y})$, $g \in L^2(I \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^3)$ such that:*

$$Q_2^{\text{rel}}(A) = \iint_{I \times Y} Q(y, \iota(x_3 A + B) + \mathcal{U}(\zeta, \varphi, g)) \, dy dx_3$$

The induced mapping $\mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^2 \ni A \mapsto (B, \zeta, \varphi, g) \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^2 \times \dot{H}^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^2) \times \dot{H}^2(\mathcal{Y}) \times L^2(I \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^3)$ is bounded and linear and thus $A \mapsto Q_2^{\text{rel}}(A)$ is quadratic.

Proof. By (44) and by Remark 1 for each $A \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^2$ the bilinear form associated with the quadratic functional

$$G \mapsto \int_{Y \times I} Q(y, x_3 A + G) \, dy dx_3$$

is elliptic on the closed linear subspace of $L^2(I \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^3)$ given by

$$X := \iota(\mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^2) + L_0(I \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^3).$$

Hence it admits a unique minimizer $G_0 \in X$ by Riesz representation theorem. Linearity of G_0 in A follows immediately from that. $\square$

Remark 3. It can be easily seen that

$$Q_2^{\text{rel}}(A) := \inf_{B, \zeta, \varphi} \iint_{I \times Y} Q_2(y, \iota(x_3 A + B) + \text{sym}(\nabla_y \zeta + x_3 \nabla_y^2 \varphi)) \, dy dx_3,$$

where the infimum is taken over all $B \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^2$, $\zeta \in \dot{H}^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\varphi \in \dot{H}^2(\mathcal{Y})$.

Remark 4. The following observation is already made in [HNV]. Under the assumption that $\frac{h}{\varepsilon(h)} \rightarrow \gamma \in (0, \infty)$ the quadratic functional associated with the Γ -limit is given by $Q_{2,\gamma} : \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$Q_{2,\gamma}(A) := \inf_{B, \phi} \iint_{I \times Y} Q\left(y, \iota(x_3 A + B) + (\nabla_y \phi, \frac{1}{\gamma} \partial_3 \phi)\right) dy dx_3$$

where the infimum is taken over all $B \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{2 \times 2}$ and $\phi \in \dot{H}^1(I \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^3)$. Using [NV][Lemma 5.2] one can easily obtain that for $A \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^2$ we have

$$Q_2^{\text{rel}}(A) = \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} Q_{2,\gamma}(A).$$

The continuity in γ , for all $\gamma \in [0, \infty]$, of the quadratic functional associated with the Γ -limit was already observed in the case of von-Kármán plate (see [NV]). The case of von-Kármán shell resembles the case of bending plate since we obtain that the continuity holds under the assumption that $\varepsilon(h)^2 \ll h \ll \varepsilon(h)$ as already commented in the introduction.

Proof of Theorem 2.4 (lower bound). Without loss of generality we may assume that $\int_{\Omega} u^h dx = 0$ and $\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} h^{-2} \mathcal{E}^{h,\varepsilon(h)}(u^h) < \infty$. In view of (ND), the sequence u^h has finite bending energy and the sequence E^h , see (??), is bounded in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{M}^3)$. Hence, from Theorem 2.5 we deduce that $u \in W_{\delta}^{2,2}(S, \mathbb{R}^3)$. By Lemma 3.4 (i) and Proposition 3.2 (i) we can pass to a subsequence such that, for some $E \in L^2(\Omega \times Y; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})$,

$$E^h \xrightarrow{2,0} E,$$

where E can be written in the form of (11). As explained in [Neu12] (cf. [FJM02] for the corresponding argument in the homogeneous case), a careful Taylor expansion of $W(\frac{x'}{\varepsilon(h)}, I + hE^h(x))$ combined with the lower semi-continuity of convex integral functionals with respect to weak two-scale convergence (see e.g. [Vis07, Proposition 1.3]) yields the lower bound

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h^2} \mathcal{E}^{h,\varepsilon(h)}(u^h) &\geq \iint_{\Omega \times Y} Q(y, E(x, y)) dy dx = \\ &\iint_{\Omega \times Y} Q\left(y, \iota(x_3 \Pi(x') + B(x')) + \mathcal{U}(\zeta(x, \cdot), \varphi(x, \cdot), g(x, \cdot, \cdot))(x_3, y)\right) dy dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (11). Minimization over $B \in L^2(S, \mathbb{M}^2)$ and $\zeta \in L^2(S, \dot{H}^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^2))$, $\varphi \in L^2(S, \dot{H}^2(\mathcal{Y}))$, $g \in L^2(\Omega \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^3)$ yields

$$\liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h^2} \mathcal{E}^{h,\varepsilon(h)}(u^h) \geq \int_S Q_2^{\text{rel}}(\Pi(x')) dx' = \mathcal{E}(u).$$

□

4.2 Upper bound

It remains to prove the upper bound. We modify the argumentation given in [HNV] by adding additional oscillations. As in [Sch07] and other related results,

the key ingredient here is the density result for $W^{2,2}$ isometric immersions established in [Hor11b, Hor11a] (cf. also [Pak04] for an earlier result in this direction). It is the need for the results in [Hor11a] that forces us to consider domains S which are not only Lipschitz but also piecewise C^1 . More precisely, we only need that the outer unit normal be continuous away from a subset of ∂S with length zero.

For a given $u \in W_\delta^{2,2}(S)$ and for a displacement $V \in W^{2,2}(S, \mathbb{R}^3)$ we denote by q_V the quadratic form

$$q_V = \text{sym}((\nabla u)^T(\nabla V)).$$

We denote by $\mathcal{A}(S)$ the set of all $u \in W_\delta^{2,2}(S, \mathbb{R}^3) \cap C^\infty(\overline{S}, \mathbb{R}^3)$ with the property that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ B \in C^\infty(\overline{S}, \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^2) : B = 0 \text{ in a neighborhood of } \{x' \in S : \Pi(x') = 0\} \right\} \\ & \subset \{q_V : V \in C^\infty(\overline{S}; \mathbb{R}^3)\}. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, if $u \in \mathcal{A}(S)$ and $B \in C^\infty(\overline{S}, \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^2)$ is a matrix field which vanishes in a neighborhood of $\{\Pi = 0\}$, then there exists a displacement $V \in C^\infty(\partial S; \mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $q_V = B$. The key ingredient in the proof of the upper bound is the following lemma. The short argument, which relies on [Sch07, Lemma 3.3] is given in [HNV].

Lemma 4.3. *The set $\mathcal{A}(S)$ is dense in $W_\delta^{2,2}(S)$ with respect to the strong $W^{2,2}$ -topology.*

Thanks to Lemma 4.3 it will be enough to construct recovery sequences for limiting deformations belonging to $\mathcal{A}(S)$. First, however, we present a construction assuming additional information about the limit.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $u \in W_\delta^{2,2}(S, \mathbb{R}^3) \cap W^{2,\infty}(S, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and let $V \in W^{2,\infty}(S, \mathbb{R}^3)$. Let $\zeta \in C_c^\infty(S, \dot{C}^\infty(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^2))$, $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(S, \dot{C}^\infty(\mathcal{Y}))$, $g \in C_c^\infty(S, \dot{C}^\infty(I \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^3))$. Then there exists a sequence $(u^h) \subset H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $u^h \rightarrow u$ and $\nabla_h u^h \rightarrow (\nabla' u, n)$ uniformly in Ω and*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h^2} \mathcal{E}^{h, \varepsilon(h)}(u^h) = \\ & \iint_{\Omega \times Y} Q(y, \iota(x_3 \Pi(x') + q_V(x')) + \mathcal{U}(\zeta(x, \cdot), \varphi(x, \cdot), g(x, \cdot, \cdot))(x_3, y)) \, dy \, dx_3 \, dx'. \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Proof. We start with the Kirchhoff-Love ansatz, augmented by its linearization induced by the displacement V :

$$v^h(x) := u(x') + hx_3 n(x') + h(V(x') + hx_3 \mu(x')),$$

where μ is given by

$$\mu = (I - n \otimes n)(\partial_1 V \wedge \partial_2 u + \partial_1 u \wedge \partial_2 V).$$

We set $R(x') = (\nabla' u(x'), n(x'))$. A straightforward computation shows that

$$\nabla_h v^h = R + h\left((\nabla' V, \mu) + x_3(\nabla' n, 0)\right) + h^2 x_3(\nabla' \mu, 0). \tag{47}$$

The actual recovery sequence u^h is obtained by adding to v^h the oscillating corrections given by ζ , φ , g :

$$\begin{aligned} u^h(x) &:= v^h(x) - \varepsilon(h)^2 n(x') \varphi(x', \frac{x'}{\varepsilon(h)}) + h \varepsilon(h)^2 x_3 R(x') \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1 \varphi(x, \frac{x'}{\varepsilon(h)}) \\ \partial_2 \varphi(x, \frac{x'}{\varepsilon(h)}) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + h \varepsilon(h) R(x') \begin{pmatrix} \zeta(x', \frac{x'}{\varepsilon(h)}) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + h^2 \int_{-1/2}^{x_3} R(x') g(x', t, \frac{x'}{\varepsilon(h)}) dt. \end{aligned}$$

By the regularity of V , the uniform convergence of u^h and $\nabla_h u^h$ is immediate. Equation (47) implies

$$\begin{aligned} R^t \nabla_h u^h &= I + \varepsilon(h) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \partial_{y_1} \varphi \\ 0 & 0 & \partial_{y_2} \varphi \\ -\partial_{y_1} \varphi & -\partial_{y_2} \varphi & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + h \iota((\nabla' u)^t (\nabla' V) + x_3 \Pi) \\ &\quad + h((\mu \cdot \nabla' u) \otimes e_3 + e_3 \otimes (n \cdot \nabla' V)) \\ &\quad + h \iota(x_3 \nabla_y^2 \varphi + \nabla_y \zeta) + h \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & g_1 \\ 0 & 0 & g_2 \\ 0 & 0 & g_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad - \varepsilon(h)^2 \iota(\varphi \Pi) + \varepsilon(h)^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \partial_{x_1} \varphi \\ 0 & 0 & \partial_{x_2} \varphi \\ -\partial_{x_1} \varphi & -\partial_{x_2} \varphi & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + h^2 x_3 R^t (\nabla' \mu, 0) + h \varepsilon(h)^2 x_3 (R^t \nabla' R) \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1 \varphi \\ \partial_2 \varphi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + h \varepsilon(h) \iota(\nabla_{x'} \zeta) \\ &\quad + h \varepsilon(h) (R^t \nabla' R) \begin{pmatrix} \zeta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{h^2}{\varepsilon(h)} (\int_{-1/2}^{x_3} \nabla_y g, 0) + h^2 (\int_{-1/2}^{x_3} \nabla_{x'} g, 0) \\ &\quad + h^2 (R^t \nabla' R) \int_{-1/2}^{x_3} g; \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

the argument of the functions ζ, φ, g and their derivatives is $(x, x'/\varepsilon(h))$. Let us define

$$E^h = \frac{\sqrt{(\nabla_h u^h)^t \nabla_h u^h} - I}{h}.$$

Using $n \cdot \nabla' V + \mu \cdot \nabla' u = 0$, the Assumption 2.2 and Taylor expansion we deduce from (48) that

$$E^h \xrightarrow{2,0} E := \iota(q_V + x_3 \Pi) + \mathcal{U}(\zeta(x', \cdot), \varphi(x', \cdot), g(x', \cdot))(x_3, y),$$

Properties (FI), (QE) and (45) yield

$$\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{1}{h^2} \mathcal{E}^{h, \varepsilon(h)}(u^h) - \int_{\Omega} Q(\frac{x'}{\varepsilon(h)}, E^h(x)) dx \right| = 0. \tag{49}$$

Hence, by (44) and by strong two-scale convergence of E^h , we can pass to the limit in the second term in (49). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.4 (Upper bound). We may assume that $\mathcal{E}(u) < \infty$, so $u \in W_\delta^{2,2}(S, \mathbb{R}^3)$. Moreover, since Q_2^{rel} is quadratic (cf. Lemma 4.2), it suffices to prove the statement for a dense subset of $W_\delta^{2,2}(S, \mathbb{R}^3)$. Hence, by Lemma 4.3, we may assume without loss of generality that $u \in \mathcal{A}(S)$. By Lemma 4.2 there exist $B \in L^2(S, \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^2)$ and $\zeta \in L^2(S, \dot{H}^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^2))$, $\varphi \in L^2(S, \dot{H}^2(\mathcal{Y}))$, $g \in L^2(S, L^2(I \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^3))$ such that:

$$\mathcal{E}(u) = \iint_{\Omega \times Y} Q(y, \iota(x_3 \Pi + B) + \mathcal{U}(\zeta, \varphi, g)) dy dx. \quad (50)$$

Since $B(x')$ depends linearly on $\Pi(x')$, we know in addition that $B(x') = 0$ for almost every $x' \in \{\Pi = 0\}$.

By a density argument it suffices to show the following: There exists a doubly indexed sequence $u^{h,\delta} \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \|u^{h,\delta} - u\|_{H^1} = 0, \quad (51)$$

$$\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{1}{h^2} \mathcal{E}^{h,\varepsilon(h)}(u^{h,\delta}) - \mathcal{E}(u) \right| \lesssim \delta. \quad (52)$$

Indeed, if this is the case then we obtain the desired sequence by extracting a diagonal sequence (e. g. by appealing to [Att84, Corollary 1.16]).

We construct $u^{h,\delta}$ as follows: By density, for each $\delta > 0$ there exist maps $B^\delta \in C^\infty(\overline{S}, \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{2 \times 2})$ and $\zeta^\delta \in C_c^\infty(S, \dot{C}^\infty(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^2))$, $\varphi^\delta \in C_c^\infty(S, \dot{C}^\infty(\mathcal{Y}))$, $g^\delta \in C_c^\infty(S, \dot{C}^\infty(I \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{R}^3))$

such that

$$\|B^\delta - B\|_{L^2(S)} + \|\mathcal{U}(\zeta^\delta, \varphi^\delta, g^\delta) - \mathcal{U}(\zeta, \varphi, g)\|_{L^2(\Omega \times Y)} \leq \delta^2, \quad (53)$$

$$B^\delta = 0 \text{ in a neighborhood of } \{\Pi = 0\}. \quad (54)$$

Since $u \in \mathcal{A}(S, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and due to (54), for each $\delta > 0$ there exists a smooth displacement V_δ such that

$$B^\delta = q_{V_\delta}.$$

We apply Lemma 4.4 to u and V_δ to obtain a sequence $u^{h,\delta}$ that converges uniformly to u as $h \rightarrow 0$. Hence (51) is satisfied. Lemma 4.4 also ensures that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h^2} \mathcal{E}^h(u^{h,\delta}) = \iint_{\Omega \times Y} Q(y, \iota(x_3 \Pi + B^\delta) + \mathcal{U}(\zeta^\delta, \varphi^\delta, g^\delta)) dy dx.$$

By continuity of the functional on the right-hand side, combined with (53) and (50), the bound (52) follows. $\square$

Acknowledgements. The author was supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft grant no. HO-4697/1-1.

References

- [All92] Grégoire Allaire. Homogenization and two-scale convergence. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 23(6):1482–1518, 1992.
- [Att84] Hedy Attouch. *Variational convergence for functions and operators*. Applicable Mathematics Series. Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, MA, 1984.
- [FJM02] Gero Friesecke, Richard D. James, and Stefan Müller. A theorem on geometric rigidity and the derivation of nonlinear plate theory from three-dimensional elasticity. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 55(11):1461–1506, 2002.
- [FJM06] Gero Friesecke, Richard D. James, and Stefan Müller. A hierarchy of plate models derived from nonlinear elasticity by gamma-convergence. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 180(2):183–236, 2006.
- [HLP] P. Hornung, M. Lewicka, , and R. Pakzad. Infinitesimal isometries on developable surfaces and asymptotic theories for thin developable shells. *J. Elasticity, online first*.
- [HNV] Peter Hornung, Stefan Neukamm, and Igor Velčić. Derivation of the homogenized bending plate model from 3D nonlinear elasticity. *Preprint: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1210.5461>*.
- [Hor11a] Peter Hornung. Approximation of flat $W^{2,2}$ isometric immersions by smooth ones. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 199(3):1015–1067, 2011.
- [Hor11b] Peter Hornung. Fine level set structure of flat isometric immersions. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 199(3):943–1014, 2011.
- [HV] Peter Hornung and Igor Velčić. Derivation of the homogenized von kármán shell model from 3D nonlinear elasticity. *Preprint: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1211.0045>*.
- [LDR95] Hervé Le Dret and Annie Raoult. The nonlinear membrane model as variational limit of nonlinear three-dimensional elasticity. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 74(6):549–578, 1995.
- [MT07] Alexander Mielke and Aida M. Timofte. Two-scale homogenization for evolutionary variational inequalities via the energetic formulation. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 39(2):642–668 (electronic), 2007.
- [Neu10] Stefan Neukamm. *Homogenization, linearization and dimension reduction in elasticity with variational methods*. Phd thesis, Technische Universität München, 2010.
- [Neu12] Stefan Neukamm. Rigorous derivation of a homogenized bending-torsion theory for inextensible rods from three-dimensional elasticity. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 206(2):645–706, 2012.
- [Ngu89] Gabriel Nguetseng. A general convergence result for a functional related to the theory of homogenization. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 20(3):608–623, 1989.

- [NV] Stefan Neukamm and Igor Velčić. Derivation of a homogenized von Kármán plate theory from 3D elasticity. *Preprint*: http://www.mis.mpg.de/preprints/2012/preprint2012_61.pdf.
- [Pak04] Mohammad Reza Pakzad. On the Sobolev space of isometric immersions. *J. Differential Geom.*, 66(1):47–69, 2004.
- [Sch07] Bernd Schmidt. Plate theory for stressed heterogeneous multilayers of finite bending energy. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 88(1):107–122, 2007.
- [Vel] Igor Velčić. Periodically wrinkled plate of Föppl von Kármán type. *to appear in Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.*, *preprint*: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1104.0661>.
- [Vis06] Augusto Visintin. Towards a two-scale calculus. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.*, 12(3):371–397 (electronic), 2006.
- [Vis07] Augusto Visintin. Two-scale convergence of some integral functionals. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 29(2):239–265, 2007.